

Improving GCC's Performance on OpenACC Applications

March 27, 2018 Randy Allen



Android is a trademark of Google Inc. Use of this trademark is subject to Google Permissions. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries. Qt is a registered trade mark of Qt Company Oy. All other trademarks mentioned in this document are trademarks of their respective owners.

www.mentor.com/embedded

Overview

- Compiler optimization is guaranteed employment
 - Compilers are complex illustrations of the phrase "NP complete"
 - Tuning an optimizer takes much time and many lines of sample code
- In "compiler" terms, GCC's support of OpenACC is relatively young

The omnipresent questions:

- How well tuned is code generation
- What are key improvements we can make

Inquiring minds wanted to know



The Process

- Take a real world application on which GCC performs poorly relative to PGI
- Profile and analyze it to determine the slowdowns
- Effect optimizations in GCC to address the slowdowns
- See how much work is required to get to PGI level performance

See how general the changes are

© Mentor Graphics Corp.

The Application: LSDalton

- Large Scaled Coupled-Cluster Calculations of Supramolecular Wires
- Quantum chemistry code targeting
 - Enzyme-catalyzed chemical reactions
 - Carbon nanotubes and graphene
 - Preferred crystal form of organic molecules
- Regular releases, tested with gfortran, ifort, pgf90
- Widely used



Preparation

- LSDalton made extensive use of cuBLAS library, particularly for matrix-vector and matrix-matrix multiplication
 - This defeated the purpose, since the core computation not compiler generated
 Replaced those calls with netlib source, annotated with OpenACC directives
- LSDalton used a highly-optimized PGI host BLAS library that was incompatible GCC due to OpenMP
 - Given it was host, it provided a small performance boost
 - Replaced with netlib source compiled with respective compilers to normalize the comparisons
- Some minor source changes to work around problems in each compiler

Baseline execution times on the sample data set:

- GCC: 216 seconds
- PGI: 104 seconds



Hardware and Options

Hardware

- NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 with 8113 MiB RAM
- Intel® Xeon® CPU ES-2640 v4 @3.10 GHz with 32 GB of RAM
 CUDA 8.0.44

PGI Compiler: 17.9-0 64-bit target on x86-64 Linux

- "-ta=host,tesla:cc60 -lnvidia-fatbinaryloader –lcuda"
- GCC: internal version
 - "-fopenacc –lcuda"



Analysis

LSDalton enabled the compiler option -ffloat-store

- Causes every floating value to be stored to memory when computed and loaded on every use
- Obviously bad for performance
- Presumably invoked to work around a compiler problem
- Startup code for parallel regions
 - PGI was significantly faster than GCC
 - PGI launched by dispatching parameters as part of the startup
 - GCC launched by dispatching a pointer to global memory location holding parameters

Treatment of reduction variables

— Fortran parameters used to accumulate reductions were not well optimized



Improvements and Results

- LSDalton enabled the compiler option -ffloat-store
 - Mentor tracked down and fixed the compiler problem
 - It actually affected only one loop nest in one routine
 - If OpenACC disabled on this one loop nest alone, most of performance gain would have been kept
 - With removal of –ffloat-store, execution time decreased by ~25% (70 seconds)

Startup code for parallel regions

- Mentor rewrote initiation code to dispatch parameters directly rather than through global memory
- The speedup was significant, indicating that lots of threads accessing the same memory, even when no writes are involved, is slow
- Speed up was ~30 seconds, ~20%

Treatment of reduction variables

— Mentor optimized out the reduction variable, giving a small (~6%) speedup

© Mentor Graphics Corp.

LSDalton performance



© Mentor Graphics Corp. Company Confidential www.mentor.com/embedded



March 27, 2018

Impact on Other Applications

- Overall improvement on Mentor performance regression suite: 3%
- Improvement on Cloverleaf: 10%
- Improvement on subset of SPEC: 6%



Lessons Learned

- The writing of compilers is a noble profession
- Be conservative in using compiler options that disable performance
- Little inefficiencies add up when multiplied by 1000
- For this application, PGI and GCC are now roughly performance equivalent
- For both compilers, the difference between generated code and handcoded BLAS is non-trivial, but not large either
 - Fastest PGI version (both hand-coded BLAS) 92 seconds roughly 10% faster than our final with source
 - Means that code can be written in source that will run well across multiple platforms
- Improved version of gcc available from randy_allen@mentor.com.

www.mentor.com/embedded

